(skip Q1.2 to Q5.3.1.)

2017 - 2018 Annual Program Assessment Report

The Office of Academic Program Assessment California State University, Sacramento

For more information visit our <u>website</u> or <u>contact us</u> for more help.

Please begin by selecting your program name in the drop down.

If the program name is not listed, please enter it below:		
BA Art Studio		
OR enter program name:		

Section 1: Report All of the Program Learning Outcomes Assessed

Question 1: Program Learning Outcomes

Q1.1.	
	of the following Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs), Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Goals (BLGs), and
-	dened Graduate Learning Goals (GLGs) did you assess? [Check all that apply]
_	Critical Thinking
	Information Literacy
<u> </u>	Written Communication
□ 4.	Oral Communication
5 .	Quantitative Literacy
□ 6.	Inquiry and Analysis
2 7.	Creative Thinking
□ 8.	Reading
9 .	Team Work
10	. Problem Solving
11	. Civic Knowledge and Engagement
12	. Intercultural Knowledge, Competency, and Perspectives
13	3. Ethical Reasoning
14	. Foundations and Skills for Lifelong Learning
15	. Global Learning and Perspectives
2 16	i. Integrative and Applied Learning
17	'. Overall Competencies for GE Knowledge
18	3. Overall Disciplinary Knowledge
19). Professionalism
20	A. Other, specify any assessed PLOs not included above:
a	
b.	
с.	
	B. Check here if your program has not collected any data for any PLOs. Please go directly to 06

Q1.2.

Please provide more detailed background information about **EACH PLO** you checked above and other information including how your specific PLOs are **explicitly** linked to the Sac State **BLGs/GLGs**:

We are focusing on 192A Senior Seminar, our capstone class. In this class we assess the following BLGs: Competence in the Discipline: students work on self-directed studio work that demonstrates their ability to synthesize what they have learned throughout the degree program.

Intellectual and Practical Skills: the course involves creative thinking through group, peer-to-peer and individual critiques where students articulate the subject and content of their work.

Personal and Social Responsibility: Students participate as a team in organizing all aspects of a group show. Because it is a collective exhibition students practice collboration and team work at a high level: respect of individual ideas and spaces are critical elements of the show.

Integrative Learning: students put together intellectual and practical skills, and knowledge of contemporary and historical precedents in the realization of their work.

Q	1.	2.	1.
---	----	----	----

Do you have rubrics for your PLOs?	
1. Yes, for all PLOs	
2. Yes, but for some PLOs	
3. No rubrics for PLOs	
O 4. N/A	
5. Other, specify:	

Q1.3.

Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

Q1.4.

Is your program externally accredited (other than through WASC Senior College and University Commission (WSCUC))?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No (skip to Q1.5)
- 3. Don't know (skip to Q1.5)

Q1.4.1.

If the answer to Q1.4 is **yes**, are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

Q1.5.

Did your program use the **Degree Qualification Profile** ("DQP", see http://degreeprofile.org) to develop your PLO(s)?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No, but I know what the DQP is
- 3. No, I don't know what the DQP is
- 4. Don't know

Q1.6.

Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

(Remember: Save your progress)

Section 2: Report One Learning Outcome in Detail

Question 2: Standard of Performance for the Selected PLO

Q2.1.

Select OR type in ONE(1) PLO here as an example to illustrate how you conducted assessment (be sure you checked the **correct box** for this PLO in Q1.1):

Overall Disciplinary Knowledge

If your PLO is **not listed, please enter it here**:

02.1.1.

Please provide more background information about the specific PLO you've chosen in Q2.1.

Creative Thinking

In all the studio areas, course work focuses on modes and methods of creative thinking. Through all projects, whether they are sculpture, new media, painting, drawing, printmaking, small metals, or ceramics, faculty work with students to employ a wide range of strategies that encourage thought processes that go beyond intellectual analysis and invite an intuitive, sensory modality to art making. The Senior Seminar culminates in the direct application of creative thinking through individual student work, and the group exhibition.

Team work

The Senior Seminar involves a group exhibition that students a required to participate in. The students orgnaize all aspects of the show to include: exhibition coordination; publicity, PR, and print materials; installation design; physical installation of work; exhibition reception; and de-installation. Students form committees to organize various aspects of this process, and must work in cooperation with each other as a cohesive team.

Integrative and Applied Learning

Students develop significant professional skills in the senior seminar (ART 192A). The seminar synthesizes the curriculum. This includes: application of a range of technical skills; realization of cumulative studio practice; and integration of various course content (such as gallery management, art history, and studio course offerings, where they focus on their emphasis area in the upper division to build their body of work).

Q2.2.

Has the program developed or adopted explicit program standards of performance/expectations for this PLO? (e.g. "We expect 70% of our students to achieve at least a score of 3 or higher in all dimensions of the Written Communication VALUE rubric.")

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

4. N/A

Q2.3.

Please 1) provide and/or attach the rubric(s) <u>AND</u> 2) the standards of performance/expectations that you have developed for the selected PLO here:

Rubrics for the course involve the following:

Regarding Class Work: student demonstrates outstanding skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is excellent, and it is integrated with exceptional creativity.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction outstanding ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates extensive use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes the assignment in all aspects and creatively exploits possibilities within open-ended assignments. The work demonstrates skill, good judgment, and application of principles. For written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work/ presentation/document, presents sound research and is well written and well presented.

Regarding Studio Exhibition: student demonstrates outstanding skill, discernment and understanding of the visual principles in exhibiting their work. The quality of work as exhibited in the gallery is excellent, and it is integrated with exceptional creativity and skills.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through teamwork, curation, and interaction in installing the exhibition an outstanding ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates extensive use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in mounting the exhibition.

Regarding Collaboration: in the case of the exhibition, the student participates in the event in all its elements: publicity, curation, installation, signage, reception, striking show, and creatively exploits possibilities for the exhibition their work. The mounted exhibition demonstrates student's skill, good judgment, and application of exhibition principles. For the exhibition, the work is shown with exceptional skill and attention to professional exhibition practices.

n	STANDARD GRADING RUBRIC FOR ART STUDIO COURSES.docx 14.13 KB
y	14.13 KB

g	STUDIO RUBRIC- EXHIBITION.docx 14.71 KB					
	14.71 KB					

Q2.4. PLO	Q2.5. Stdrd	_	Q2.6. Please indicate where you have published the PLO, the standard (stdrd) of performance, and the rubric that was used to measure the PLO:	
		8	1. In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO	
			2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO	
<u>~</u>			3. In the student handbook/advising handbook	
			4. In the university catalogue	
			5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters	
		✓	6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources, or activities	
			7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university	

		8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents
2		9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation documents
	8	10. Other, specify: BFA program Proposal
		Question 3: Data Collection Methods and Evaluation of Data Quality for the Selected PLO
1. Yes2. No	s (skip to n't know	ata/evidence collected for the selected PLO? Q6) (skip to Q6)
Q3.2. Was the d 1. Yes 2. No 3. Do	ata scor s (skip to	(skip to Q6)
what mea	ns were Thinking:	w you collected the assessment data for the selected PLO. For example, in what course(s) or by data collected: /assessed in student written work (blog)
Oral evide Integrativ Visual evi Studio fac Teamwork Observed	ence thro re and Ap dence th culty obse k: student	ugh peer review and group critiques oplied Learning: rough portfolio work ervation of exhibition performance in groups, and outcomes in exhibition realization exhibition

(Remember: Save your progress)

Question 3A: Direct Measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, etc.)

03.3.

Were direct measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, course work, student tests, etc.) used to assess this PLO?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No (skip to **Q3.7**)
- 3. Don't know (skip to **Q3.7**)

Q3.3.1.

Which of the following direct measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, course work, student tests, etc.) were used? [Check all that apply]

- 1. Capstone project (e.g. theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences
- 2. Key assignments from required classes in the program
- 3. Key assignments from elective classes
- 4. Classroom based performance assessment such as simulations, comprehensive exams, or critiques
- 5. External performance assessments such as internships or other community-based projects
- 6. E-Portfolios
- 7. Other Portfolios
- 8. Other, specify:

Q3.3.2.

Please 1) provide and/or attach the direct measure (key assignments, projects, portfolios, course work, student tests, etc.) you used to collect data, <u>THEN</u> 2) explain here how it assesses the PLO:

Blog visual diary/electronic sketchbook:

Demonstrates written and visual evidence of ongoing creative thinking in the process of creating work (PLO 7) Student presentations:

Students give a presentation on their artwork created prior to the Senior Seminar. This demonstrates how coursework created throughout the program culminates in the development of their own visual language. This is the starting point for their work in the Senior Seminar (PLO 16)

Studio work:

Studio work created throughout the semester in Senior Seminar demonatrates a realization of integrative and applied learning. Student apply knowledge of art history, creative and critical thinking, and studio skills in their culminating portfolio of work (PLO 16)

Artist statement and CV:

CV: students create a professional CV that evidences their professional experiences to date (PLO 16)
Artist Statment: the Artist Statement reflects the student's creative dialog with their work, evidencing their creative thinking (PLO 7)

Ongoing peer and group critiques:

Students critique their own and others' work. They learn how to articulate their ideas, how to give constructive feedback to others, and how to positively respond to feedback received (PLO 7 and 9)

Final critique:

An oral defence/visual presentation that articulates: student's creative and critical thinking; and synthesis of knowledge, skills and integrative learning (PLO 7 and 16)

Exhibition:

A visual presentation of culminating work, which facilitates: observation of student performance in groups; collaboration and cooperation; and outcomes presented in exhibition a realization (PLO 7, 9 and 16)

Attached: syllabi for 192A



2018SP_192A_OrtbalR_Syllabus.pdf 128.43 KB

Q3.4.

What tool was used to evaluate the data?

- 1. No rubric is used to interpret the evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.)
- 2. Used rubric developed/modified by the faculty who teaches the class (skip to Q3.4.2.)
- 3. Used rubric developed/modified by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.)

 4. Used rubric pilot-tested and refined by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) 5. The VALUE rubric(s) (skip to Q3.4.2.) 6. Modified VALUE rubric(s) (skip to Q3.4.2.) 7. Used other means (Answer Q3.4.1.)
Q3.4.1. If you used other means, which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply] 1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams (skip to Q3.4.4.) 2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.4.) 3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.4.) 4. Other, specify:
(skip to Q3.4.4.)
Q3.4.2. Was the rubric aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A
Q3.4.3. Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the rubric? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A
Q3.4.4. Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A
Q3.5. Please enter the number (#) of faculty members who participated in planning the assessment data collection of the selected PLO? Nine
Q3.5.1. Please enter the number (#) of faculty members who participated in the evaluation of the assessment data for the selected PLO?
Q3.5.2. If the data was evaluated by multiple scorers, was there a norming process (a procedure to make sure everyone was scoring similarly)? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know

Q3.6.

How did you select the sample of student work (papers, projects, portfolios, etc.)?

Student sample work was chosen via the participants in the ART 192A, Senior Seminar capstone course.

Q3.6.1.

How did you decide how many samples of student work to review?

We reviewed exactly the number of students enrolled each semester in the capstone courses.

Q3.6.2.

Please enter the number (#) of students that were in the class or program?

Fall 2017: 20 Students, Spring 2018: 15 Students

Q3.6.3.

Please enter the number (#) of samples of student work that you evaluated?

The samples of student work were approximately...

Q3.6.4.

Was the sample size of student work for the direct measure adequate?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

(Remember: Save your progress)

Question 3B: Indirect Measures (surveys, focus groups, interviews, etc.)

Q3.7.

Were indirect measures used to assess the PLO?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No (skip to Q3.8)
- 3. Don't Know (skip to **Q3.8**)

Q3.7.1.

Which of the following indirect measures were used? [Check all that apply]				
1. National student surveys (e.g. NSSE)2. University conducted student surveys (e.g. OIR)				
3. College/department/program student surveys or focus groups				
4. Alumni surveys, focus groups, or interviews				
5. Employer surveys, focus groups, or interviews				
6. Advisory board surveys, focus groups, or interviews				
7. Other, specify:				
Q3.7.1.1. Please explain and attach the indirect measure you used to collect data:				
Confidential student evaluation data used				
No file attached No file attached				
Q3.7.2.				
If surveys were used, how was the sample size decided?				
Q3.7.3.				
If surveys were used, how did you select your sample:				
Q3.7.4.				
If surveys were used, please enter the response rate:				

Question 3C: Other Measures (external benchmarking, licensing exams, standardized tests, etc.)

Q3.8. Were external benchmarking data, such as licensing exams or standardized tests, used to assess the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No (skip to Q3.8.2) 3. Don't Know (skip to Q3.8.2)					
Q3.8.1. Which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply] 1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams 2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) 3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) 4. Other, specify:					
Evaluated by Studio Art Faculty					
Q3.8.2. Were other measures used to assess the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No (skip to Q4.1) 3. Don't know (skip to Q4.1) Q3.8.3. If other measures were used, please specify:					
Faculty observation (faculty visiting student shows) Faculty discussion and evaluation of outcomes during Studio Faculty meetings					
No file attached No file attached					
(Remember: Save your progress)					
Question 4: Data, Findings, and Conclusions					

Q4.1.

Please provide tables and/or graphs to summarize the assessment data, findings, and conclusions for the selected PLO in **Q2.1** (see Appendix 12 in our <u>Feedback Packet Example</u>):

Not applicable.			
No file attached	o file attached		
Q4.2. Are students doing well performance of the sele		? If not , how will the program work to improve student	
However, some student work (ab In order to achieve a h implementing more rob Seminar, for example N	out 5%) shows signs of a minimal to gher level of success among the lov ust incremental grading strategies a	e program standard, or have exceeded the standard. To low reach in attaining the program standard. To performing students, faculty have discussed as well as more faculty indirect input in the Senior exists painting faculty with struggling students. This will	
No file attached	o file attached		
Q4.3. For the selected PLO, the student performance: 1. Exceeded expectation/standard 2. Met expectation/standard 3. Partially met expectation/standard 4. Did not meet expectation/standard 5. No expectation/standard has been specified 6. Don't know			
	Question 4A: Alignr	ment and Quality	
Q4.4.			

Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

04.5.

Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO?

- 1. Yes
- O 2. No
- 3. Don't know

Question 5: Use of Assessment Data (Closing the Loop)

Q5.1.

As a result of the assessment effort and based on prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate **making any changes** for your program (e.g. course structure, course content, or modification of PLOs)?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No (skip to **Q5.2**)
- 3. Don't know (skip to Q5.2)

Q5.1.1.

Please describe what changes you plan to make in your program as a result of your assessment of this PLO.

We are planning to move from one to two exhibitions per year, to better facilitate the pending BFA program, and allow more opportunity for students to practice/engage with the PLOs.

Q5.1.2.

Do you have a plan to assess the *impact of the changes* that you anticipate making?

1. Yes, describe your plan:

Adjust the syllabi for faculty teaching in the fall semester to account for the additional show. Inform all faculty of the changes.

Faculty discussion and evaluation of outcomes during Studio Faculty meetings.

Discuss the impact of the additional show with all studio faculty at the end of the academic year.

Document both shows for assessment purposes.

- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

Q5.2.

To what extent did you apply previous assessment results collected through your program in the following areas?	1. Very Much	2. Quite a Bit	3. Some	4. Not at All	5. N/A
1. Improving specific courses	0	0	0	0	0
2. Modifying curriculum	0	0	0	0	0
3. Improving advising and mentoring	0	0	0	0	0
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals	0	0	0	0	0
5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations	0	0	0	0	0

6. Developing/updating assessment plan	0	0	0	0	0
7. Annual assessment reports	0	0	0	0	0
8. Program review	0	0	0	0	0
9. Prospective student and family information	0	0	0	0	0
10. Alumni communication	0	0	0	0	0
11. WSCUC accreditation (regional accreditation)	0	0	0	0	0
12. Program accreditation	0	0	0	0	0
13. External accountability reporting requirement	0	0	0	0	0
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations	0	0	0	0	0
15. Strategic planning	0	0	0	0	0
16. Institutional benchmarking	0	0	0	0	0
17. Academic policy development or modifications	0	0	0	0	0
18. Institutional improvement	0	0	0	0	0
19. Resource allocation and budgeting	0	0	0	0	0
20. New faculty hiring	0	0	0	0	0
21. Professional development for faculty and staff	0	0	0	0	0
22. Recruitment of new students	0	0	0	0	0
23. Other, specify: Reinstituted paper evaluations	0	0	0	0	0
Reinstituted paper evaluations		0	0	0	

Q5.2.1.

Please provide a detailed example of how you used the assessment data above:

3.Improving advising and mentoring:

We instituted a new advising policy whereby student advising is more evenly distributed amongst studio faculty. This ensures advisors are available when students need course, program or any individual advising. This particualry targets students who are struggling with cooursework or navigating their way through the program.

8. Program Review:

We are actively responding to the most recent NASAD program assessment. As a result we have developed a new BFA program in Studio Art to provide a professional degree for our students.

- 20. We have hired a new faculty member in Printmaking to re-establish the Printmaking emphasis.
- 23. Reinstituted paper student evaluations resulted in student evaulation data being consistent over all courses.

Q5.3. To what extent did you apply previous assessment feedback from the Office of Academic Program Assessment in the following areas?	1. Very Much	2. Quite a bit	3. Some	4. Not at All	5. N/A
1. Program Learning Outcomes	0	0	0	0	0
2. Standards of Performance	0	0	0	0	0
3. Measures	0	0	0	0	0
4. Rubrics	0	0	0	0	0
5. Alignment	0	0	0	0	0
6. Data Collection	0	0	0	0	0
7. Data Analysis and Presentation	0	0	0	0	0
8. Use of Assessment Data	0	0	0	0	0

	_	_	_		
	0	0	0	0	9
Q5.3.1. Please share with us an example of how you applied previous fee o	dback fron	n the Offi	ce of Acad	demic Pro	gram
Not applicable					
(Remember: Save your pr					
Section 3: Report Other Asses	ssment	Activit	ies		
Other Assessment Ac	ctivities				
Q6.					
If your program/academic unit conducted assessment activities tha this year (i.e. impacts of an advising center, etc.), please provide t					Os for
Not applicable.					
No file attached No file attached					
Q6.1. Please explain how the assessment activities reported in Q6 will be					
Q6.1. Please explain how the assessment activities reported in Q6 will be assessment in the future and to the mission, vision, and the strates					
Q6.1. Please explain how the assessment activities reported in Q6 will be assessment in the future and to the mission, vision, and the strates					
Q6.1. Please explain how the assessment activities reported in Q6 will be assessment in the future and to the mission, vision, and the strates					
Q6.1. Please explain how the assessment activities reported in Q6 will be assessment in the future and to the mission, vision, and the strates					
Q6.1. Please explain how the assessment activities reported in Q6 will be					

Q7.

What PLO(s) do you plan to assess next year? [Check all that apply]

	1. Critical Thinking
	2. Information Literacy
	3. Written Communication
	4. Oral Communication
	5. Quantitative Literacy
	6. Inquiry and Analysis
	7. Creative Thinking
	8. Reading
	9. Team Work
	10. Problem Solving
	11. Civic Knowledge and Engagement
	12. Intercultural Knowledge, Competency, and Perspectives
	13. Ethical Reasoning
4	14. Foundations and Skills for Lifelong Learning
	15. Global Learning and Perspectives
4	16. Integrative and Applied Learning
	17. Overall Competencies for GE Knowledge
	18. Overall Disciplinary Knowledge
	19. Professionalism
	20. Other, specify any PLOs not included above:
a.	
b.	
c.	

Q8.

Please explain how this year's assessment activities help you address recommendations from your department's last program review?

Improving advising and mentoring:

We instituted a new advising policy whereby student advising is more evenly distributed amongst studio faculty. This ensures advisors are available when students need course, program or any individual advising. This particualry targets students who are struggling with cooursework or navigating their way through the program. Program Review:

We are actively responding to the most recent NASAD program assessment. As a result we have developed a new BFA program in Studio Art to provide a professional degree for our students.

We have hired a new faculty member in Printmaking to re-establish the Printmaking emphasis, providing more opportunities for integrative learning, and professional practices.

Reinstituted paper student evaluations resulted in student evaluation data being consistent over all courses.

Q9. Please attach any additional files here:

No file attached	No file attached
No file attached	No file attached

Q9.1.

If you have attached **any** files to this form, please list **every** attached file here:

Senior Seminar Syllabus:Professor Flohr Fall 2017
Professor Ortbal Spring 2018
Art Studio Rubric
Art Studio Exhibition Rubric

Section 4: Background Information about	the Program
Program Information (Required)	
Program:	
(If you typed in your program name at the beginning, pleas	e skip to Q11)
Q10.	1
Program/Concentration Name: [skip if program name is already selected or apportant Studio	ears above]
011	
Q11. Report Author(s):	
Rachel Clarke and Sarah Flohr	
011.1	
Q11.1. Department Chair/Program Director:	
Carolyn Gibbs	
Q11.2. Assessment Coordinator: Studio Faculty	
Q12. Department/Division/Program of Academic Unit (select):	
Art	
Q13. College:	
College of Arts & Letters	
Q14. What is the total enrollment (#) for Academic Unit during assessment (see Department (#))	artmental Fact Book):
Approximately 250-275 students for AY 17-18	aremental race Bookyr
Q15. Program Type:	
1. Undergraduate baccalaureate major	
2. Credential	
3. Master's Degree	
4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.D./Ed.S./D.P.T./etc.)	
5. Other, specify:	

Q16. Number of undergraduate deg	gree pro	grams the	e acauemi	C unit nas) f			
4								
Q16.1. List all the names:								
BA Studio Art BA Studio Art Methods BA Art History BA Art Education								
Q16.2. How many concentrations app	pear on th	ne diploma	ı for this ι	ındergrad	uate prog	ram?		
1								
Q17. Number of master's degree pr	rograms	the acade	mic unit l	nas?				
1								
Q17.1. List all the names:								
Master of Arts in Studio Art (MA)								
Q17.2. How many concentrations app	ear on th	ne diploma	for this r	master's p	rogram?			
1								
Q18. Number of credential program	ns the aca	ademic un	it has?					
1								
Q18.1. List all the names:								
Single Subject Pre-Credential Prepara	ition in Ar							
onigio d'aspectito en calcinata i repara								
Q19. Number of doctorate degree p	orograms	the acad	emic unit	has?				
0								
Q19.1. List all the names:								
Not applicable								
пос аррисавіе								
When was your Accessment Plan	1	ا	۱ ,	l ,	ا _	۱ ,	 	۱ ,
When was your Assessment Plan	1.	۷.	3.	4.	5.	б.	7.	8.
	Before	2013-14	2014 15	2015 10	2016 17	2017 10	No Diaz	Don't
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2013-10	2010-1/	2017-18	NO PIAN	know
Q20. Developed?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Q20.2. (Required) Please obtain and attach your latest assessment plan: 2015-2016 Assessment Report Site - BA Art Studio.pdf 219.96 KB Q21. Has your program developed a curriculum map? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know Q21.1. Please obtain and attach your latest curriculum map:	•	
Q21. Has your program developed a curriculum map? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know		
Has your program developed a curriculum map? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know		
1. Yes2. No3. Don't know Q21.1.		
2. No 3. Don't know Q21.1.		
3. Don't know Q21.1.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4_Year_Roadmap.jpg 440.84 KB		
Q22. Has your program indicated explicitly in the curriculum map where assessment of student learn 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	ning occurs	s?
Q23. Does your program have a capstone class? 1. Yes, specify:		
Senior Seminar		
2. No 3. Don't know		
Q23.1. Does your program have a capstone project(s)?		
1. Yes		
O 2. No		
3. Don't know		

(Remember: Save your progress)
Save When Completed!

ver. 10.**31**.17

STANDARD GRADING RUBRIC FOR ART STUDIO COURSES

Excellent Work (A) (90 - 100%)

Regarding Class Work: student demonstrates outstanding skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is excellent, and it is integrated with exceptional creativity.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction outstanding ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates extensive use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes the assignment in all aspects and creatively exploits possibilities within open-ended assignments. The work demonstrates skill, good judgment, and application of principles. For written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work/presentation/document, presents sound research and is well written and well presented.

Better Than Average Work (B) (80 - 89%)

Regarding Class Work: student demonstrates moderate skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is good, and it is integrated with some creativity.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction a solid ability to discuss and assess work; communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates competent use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes the assignment and fulfills more than minimal requirements. The work demonstrates some skill, judgment, and application of principles. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work /presentation/ document, presents research and is reasonably well written and suitably presented.

Average Work (C) (70 - 79%)

Regarding Class Work: Student demonstrates average skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is modest, and it is moderately integrated.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction an average ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. Though the discussion and assessment of work is substantially complete, the communication of some visual elements and strategies is incomplete or missing. The student demonstrates a superficial rather than thorough understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes most of the assignment and fulfills the minimum requirements. The work demonstrates modest skill, some judgment, and in parts, application of principles. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the student makes a modest effort as evidenced by a satisfactory presentation/ document. Research may be incomplete, or lacking in organization.

Below Average (D) (60 - 69%)

Regarding Class Work: Student demonstrates lack of skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work submitted is less than acceptable, and it is poorly integrated. Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction a limited ability to discuss and assess work, while communicating at a minimal or perfunctory level how the visual elements and strategies are used. Poor effort is made to relate an understanding of the art concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student does not complete the assignment and fulfills only minimal requirements or submits work late. The work demonstrates lack of skill, weak judgment, and little application of principles. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work /presentation/ document, presents faulty or negligible research and is not well written and/or presented.

Failing (F) (40 - 59%)

Regarding Class Work: Student fails to demonstrate skill or understanding of the issues involved. Quality of work submitted is insufficient, and poorly integrated.

Regarding Participation: In discussion, critique, and studio interaction, the student states an opinion vaguely or does not assess the work and shows little to no evidence of an understanding of how visual elements and strategies are used. No effort is made to relate an understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student does not complete the assignment and does not fulfill requirements. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work /presentation/ document, presents faulty or negligible research and is not well written and/or presented.

Incomplete (I)

Incomplete: Student does not complete work and discusses this with the instructor. The department has a petition that must be signed by both the student and the instructor if an "I" grade is to be awarded. A grade of incomplete will be granted in instances such as medical emergencies where there is evidence the student understands the material and remaining assignments but has been prevented by outside forces from completing the work. The period allowed for

completion is described in the petition for the incomplete and must be determined by the faculty member granting the grade (the University maximum is one year). In effect, the petition is a contract between student and faculty.

Withdrawal Unauthorized (WU)

The WU grade is assigned by the instructor if student withdraws from the class without notification, or fails to attend after University assigned deadlines. It is treated like an F in GPA calculations but under some circumstances, can be removed by petition.

Authorized Withdrawal (W)
The "W" grade is assigned by the University if a student formally withdraws from a course after the University's census date, but within the deadlines (a "W" cannot be assigned by instructor).

STANDARD GRADING RUBRIC FOR ART STUDIO EXHIBITION

Excellent Work (A) (90 - 100%)

Regarding Exhibition: student demonstrates outstanding skill, discernment and understanding of the visual principles in exhibiting their work. The quality of work as exhibited in the gallery is excellent, and it is integrated with exceptional creativity and skills.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through teamwork, curation, and interaction in installing the exhibition an outstanding ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates extensive use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in mounting the

Regarding Collaboration: in the case of the exhibition, the student participates in the event in all its elements: publicity, curation, installation, signage, reception, striking show, and creatively exploits possibilities for the exhibition their work. The mounted exhibition demonstrates student's skill, good judgment, and application of exhibition principles. For the exhibition, the work is shown with exceptional skill and attention to professional exhibition practices

Better Than Average Work (B) (80 - 89%)

Regarding Exhibition: student demonstrates moderate skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in exhibiting their work. The quality of work as exhibited in the gallery is good, and it is integrated with some creativity and skills.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through teamwork, curation, and interaction in installing the exhibition a solid ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates extensive use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in mounting the exhibition. Regarding Collaboration: In the case of the exhibition the student participates in the event in all its elements: publicity, curation, installation, signage, reception, striking show, and fulfills more than minimal requirements for the exhibition of their work. The mounted exhibition demonstrates some student skill, fair judgment, and application of exhibition principles. For the exhibition, the work is shown with better than average skill and attention to professional exhibition practices

Average Work (C) (70 - 79%)

Regarding Exhibition: student demonstrates average skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in exhibiting their work. The quality of work as exhibited in the gallery is modest, and it is integrated with moderate creativity and skills.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through teamwork, curation, and interaction in installing the exhibition an average ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates a superficial rather than thorough understanding of the use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in mounting the exhibition.

Regarding Collaboration: In the case of the exhibition the student participates in the event in most but not all of its elements: publicity, curation, installation, signage, reception, striking show, and fulfills minimal requirements for the exhibition their work. The mounted exhibition demonstrates modest student skill, judgment, and application of exhibition principles. For the exhibition, the work is shown with average skill and attention to professional exhibition practices.

Below Average (D) (60 - 69%)

Regarding Exhibition: student demonstrates lack of skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in exhibiting their work. The quality of work as exhibited in the gallery is modest, and it is less than acceptable, and it is

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates lack of teamwork, curation, and interaction in installing the exhibition and a poor ability to discuss and assess work, unable to communicate how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates a poor understanding of the use of concepts and terminology used in mounting the exhibition.

Regarding Collaboration: In the case of the exhibition the student participates in the event at a low level in all of its elements: publicity, curation, installation, signage, reception, striking show, and fulfills minimal requirements for the exhibition their work. The mounted exhibition demonstrates lack of student skill, judgment, and application of exhibition principles. For the exhibition, the work is shown with lack of skill and inattention to professional exhibition practices.

Failing (F) (40 - 59%)

Regarding Exhibition: student fails to demonstrate skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in exhibiting their work. The quality of work as exhibited in the gallery is insufficient, unacceptable, and completely lacks integration.

Regarding Participation: student fails to demonstrate: teamwork, curation, and interaction in installing the exhibition and a no ability to discuss and assess work. The student demonstrates no understanding of the use of concepts and terminology used in mounting the exhibition.

Regarding Collaboration: In the case of the exhibition the student does not participate in the event in any of its elements: publicity, curation, installation, signage, reception, striking show, and fulfills none of the requirements for the exhibition their work. The mounted exhibition demonstrates no or faulty student skill, judgment, and application of exhibition principles. For the exhibition, the work shown is negligible and there is no attention to professional

exhibition practices.

Incomplete (I)

Incomplete: Student does not complete work and discusses this with the instructor. The department has a petition that must be signed by both the student and the instructor if an "I" grade is to be awarded. A grade of incomplete will be granted in instances such as medical emergencies where there is evidence the student understands the material and remaining assignments but has been prevented by outside forces from completing the work. The period allowed for completion is described in the petition for the incomplete and must be determined by the faculty member granting the grade (the University maximum is one year). In effect, the petition is a contract between student and faculty.

Withdrawal Unauthorized (WU)

The WU grade is assigned by the instructor if student withdraws from the class without notification, or fails to attend after University assigned deadlines. It is treated like an F in GPA calculations but under some circumstances, can be removed by petition.

Authorized Withdrawal (W)

The "W" grade is assigned by the University if a student formally withdraws from a course after the University's census date, but within the deadlines (a "W" cannot be assigned by instructor).

CSU, SACRAMENTO Art 192A-01, Senior Seminar Studio Art, Fall 2017 Course Number 84108 S. Flohr

M 3:00-5:50 p.m.; ASL 106/108

Office Hours: By Appointment: MW 7:00-7:50 a.m.: ASL 109; W 3:00-4:20 p.m.: ASL 109

Email: sflohr@csus.edu

ART 192A, SENIOR SEMINAR IN STUDIO ART. Designed to consolidate experiences in diverse visual arts. Emphasis placed on refining verbal, written, and visual skills in a variety of art disciplines. **Note:** Not open to lower division students. Required of seniors with 1998-2000 catalog rights. **Prerequisite:** Senior status. 3 units.

CLASS CONTENT:

This course will focus on studio practice via outside individual studio investigations. Each session will be a working studio where the student presents work, critiques, and investigates strategies for the work of the students in the class. An additional **minimum** of 12 hours studio work will be required each week either in the evenings or on Sunday at ASL—time to be arranged—or at another location proposed by the student. A written proposal will be required at the outset of the semester (*SacCT*) followed by an artist's statement and CV due at midterm, with a revision/elaboration due at the end of the semester.

Individual Student Blogs (*SacCT*): As part of the BA Seminar, each student will create an ongoing blog that documents her/his studio progress each week. Students will create the blog in SacCT and will publish new content each week. The blog entries will include a narrative of the previous week's work and a photographed image/s of work completed. Content must be published online in SacCT by Monday afternoon at 3:00 p.m. of each week. Additionally, students will participate in SacCT discussions and comments to the blog work as assigned. Students should expect to spend an additional 2 ½ -3 hours per week documenting, writing, and posting to the SacCT Blog.

Critiques and student presentations will be conducted throughout the semester. A final critique will be held at the end of the term. Participation in the final critique will be threefold: each student will present a body of work completed during the semester; she/he will participate in critiquing all student work; and she/he will turn in a written critique of peer work. A digital portfolio will be due on the final day of the semester that evidences the students individual work from the class.

Students will be photographing work throughout the semester. The final portfolio will consist of a minimum of 10 complete works that have been accomplished during the semester, a CV and final artist's statement. Larger projects, may be proposed, and if accepted, must show contributory study; that is, work of shorter duration that informs and relates to the larger whole.

1

OBJECTIVES:

- Produce a body of work that signals an understanding of experimentation and a thoughtful, open-ended search.
- Reflect on this open-ended search through writing and critique.

ADD/DROP POLICY: Refer to the online Class Schedule at csus.edu.

ATTENDANCE AND MAKE-UP POLICY: Attendance is required; no make-up work is accepted. With **two absences** the final grade will be lowered one level; for example, a final grade of an A- will be lowered to a B+; **EACH subsequent absence** will lower the final grade one level; that is, at the 3rd absence a final grade of an A- will become a B; at the 4th a B-, etc. Arriving late to class or leaving early will also have a depreciative effect on the final grade. Each tardy will be calculated as 1/4 absence.

EVALUATION: Grading is done on a point system with the total number of points added at the end of the semester to arrive at a final grade. All points earned are weighted in the following manner: SacCT Blogs/Critiques/Discussion and written components (20%); Studio Homework/Class-work (80%). After **2 absences** in the course the final grade will be lowered according to the formula in the "Attendance and Make-up Policy".

SUPPLIES:

Supplies to be proposed by each student according to work need.

SUPPLY SOURCES: *Blick*, 905 Howe Ave., 641-6400; *University Art*, 2601 J St., 443-5721; and online resources such as http://www.jerrysartarama.com

Additional Information:

Cleanup:

Each student is responsible individually and as a class community for the cleanup of the studio area, and the return of the space to its pre-class condition each day. If you get paint, charcoal or any other material on the walls, floors, tables, etc., please make sure that it is cleaned up prior to the beginning of the next scheduled class. Please see me for assistance if needed.

No cell phones, tablets, computers or music (including personal headphones).

Students who require special academic accommodations should contact the Services to Students with Disabilities Office (SSWD) located at Lassen Hall, Room 1008, (916) 278-6955 (Voice Only), (916) 278-7239 (TDD), (916) 278-7825 (Fax), E-mail address: sswd@csus.edu. For more information and resources visit the SSWD website: http://www.csus.edu/sswd/

STUDIO SCHEDULE:

Week 1 Aug 28-Sept 3	Mon. 8/28: Introduction to the course and initial assignments: First Blog/Proposal and PowerPoint Slide Presentation—Please see SacCT
Week 2 Sept 4-10	Mon. 9/4: HOLIDAY: LABOR DAY First Blog pages published by 5 pm on 9/11 and Forum Discussion due (revised noforum due on 9/11)
Week 3 Sept 11-17	Mon. 9/11: Studio: Student Presentations. Presentations must be uploaded as a PDF in SacCT by Sunday, September 10 @ 6:00p.m. (see SacCT for schedule and work

	due).
Week 4 Sept 18-24	Mon. 9/18: Studio (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 5 Sept 25-Oct 1	Mon. 9/25: Studio (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 6 Oct 2-8	Mon. 10/2: Studio (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 7 Oct 9-15	Mon. 10/9: Studio; <i>Artist's statement and CV assigned</i> . (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 8 Oct 16-22	Mon. 10/16: Studio: (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 9 Oct 23-29	Mon. 10/23: Studio: (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 10 Oct 30-Nov 5	Mon. 10/30: Studio: (see SacCT for schedule and work due). Artist's statement and CV first draft due.
Week 11 Nov 6-12	Mon. 11/6: Studio: (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 12 Nov 13-19	Mon. 11/13: Studio: (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 13 Nov 20-26	Mon. 11/20: FINAL CRITIQUE: Due: Studio work from entire semester (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 14 Nov 27-Dec 3	Mon. 11/27: FINAL CRITIQUE: Due: Studio work from entire semester (see SacCT for schedule and work due).
Week 15 Dec 4-10	Mon. 12/4: FINAL CRITIQUE: Due: Studio work from entire semester
Week 16 Dec 11-17	Mon. 12/11: FINAL CRITIQUE: Due: final artist's statement/elaboration and CV (hard copy and e-mail version); ten slide images/slide inventory; studio work from entire semester.

3

STANDARD GRADING RUBRIC FOR ART STUDIO COURSES

Excellent Work (A) (90 - 100%)

Regarding Class Work: student demonstrates outstanding skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is excellent, and it is integrated with exceptional creativity. **Regarding Participation:** student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction outstanding ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates extensive use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes the assignment in all aspects and creatively exploits possibilities within open-ended assignments. The work demonstrates skill, good judgment, and application of principles. For written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work/presentation/document, presents sound research and is well written and well presented.

Better Than Average Work (B) (80 - 89%)

Regarding Class Work: student demonstrates moderate skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is good, and it is integrated with some creativity.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction a solid ability to discuss and assess work; communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates competent use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes the assignment and fulfills more than minimal requirements. The work demonstrates some skill, judgment, and application of principles. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work /presentation/ document, presents research and is reasonably well written and suitably presented.

Average Work (C) (70 - 79%)

Regarding Class Work: Student demonstrates average skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is modest, and it is moderately integrated.

Regarding Participation: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction an average ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. Though the discussion and assessment of work is substantially complete, the communication of some visual elements and strategies is incomplete or missing. The student demonstrates a superficial rather than thorough understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes most of the assignment and fulfills the minimum requirements. The work demonstrates modest skill, some judgment, and in parts, application of principles. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the student makes a modest effort as evidenced by a satisfactory presentation/ document. Research may be incomplete, or lacking in organization.

Below Average (D) (60 – 69%)

Regarding Class Work: Student demonstrates lack of skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work submitted is less than acceptable, and it is poorly integrated. **Regarding Participation:** student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction a limited ability to discuss and assess work, while communicating at a minimal or perfunctory level how the visual elements and strategies are used. Poor effort is made to relate an understanding of the art concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student does not complete the assignment and fulfills only minimal requirements or submits work late. The work demonstrates lack of skill, weak judgment, and little application of principles. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work /presentation/ document, presents faulty or negligible research and is not well written and/or presented.

Failing (F) (40 - 59%)

Regarding Class Work: Student fails to demonstrate skill or understanding of the issues involved. Quality of work submitted is insufficient, and poorly integrated.

Regarding Participation: In discussion, critique, and studio interaction, the student states an opinion vaguely or does not assess the work and shows little to no evidence of an understanding of how visual elements and strategies are used. No effort is made to relate an understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student does not complete the

assignment and does not fulfill requirements. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work /presentation/ document, presents faulty or negligible research and is not well written and/or presented.

Incomplete (I)

Incomplete: Student does not complete work and discusses this with the instructor. The department has a petition that must be signed by both the student and the instructor if an "I" grade is to be awarded. A grade of incomplete will be granted in instances such as medical emergencies where there is evidence the student understands the material and remaining assignments but has been prevented by outside forces from completing the work. The period allowed for completion is described in the petition for the incomplete and must be determined by the faculty member granting the grade (the University maximum is one year). In effect, the petition is a contract between student and faculty.

Withdrawal Unauthorized (WU)

The WU grade is assigned by the instructor if student withdraws from the class without notification, or fails to attend after University assigned deadlines. It is treated like an F in GPA calculations but under some circumstances, can be removed by petition.

Authorized Withdrawal (W)

The "W" grade is assigned by the University if a student formally withdraws from a course after the University's census date, but within the deadlines (a "W" cannot be assigned by instructor).

Syllabus

Art 192a Robert Ortbal

Senior Seminar Phone: 916-278-7514 ASL 110A * Phone: 916-278-6471 ASL Studio Monday 4:00 to 6:50pm

Art Sculpture Lab – Room 110 & 106 email: ortbal@csus.edu

Office: ASL 110A

Office Hrs: Mon-Wed 7:00am to 8:00am + Mon-Wed 11:00am to 11:30am and by appointment

3 units **Prerequisite:** Senior status.

Description:

This seminar course is designed as culminating experience for art majors at Sac State. The class will focus on developing a cohesive body of artwork and a professional artist portfolio. Emphasis is placed on refining verbal, written and visual skills needed for a sustainable studio art practice, enabling a deeper understanding of your involvement and commitment to making art.

Methods of Instruction:

This course will be taught using group and individual critiques, in class lectures and demonstrations, readings, slide lectures and course projects. Projects will have either an individual or a group critique on the scheduled days listed in the Class Schedule (see separate handout). Assigned projects are to be completed by the time of the scheduled critique. This Syllabus and the Class Schedule is approximate and may change during the term. Class attendance is mandatory. Missed classes and being late or leaving early will result in a lowering of the student's final grade.

Goals – Student Learning Outcomes:

I. To demonstrate new ways of asking questions and challenging the creative process. II. To develop a cohesive body of work that is interrelated to one another. III. To demonstrate the ability to analyze a completed work. IV. To demonstrate a competent understanding of how to create an artists portfolio that includes an artist's statement and visual documentation V. To actively participate in critical discussion and dialogue related to the visual and theoretical concerns presented in each project with awareness to the vital and diverse communities represented by the entire student body.

Projects and Grading:

Personal Projects	55%
Portfolio	30%
Exhibition Project	10%
Lecture Project	5%

Projects are graded on creative exploration and individual growth, your ability to demonstrate the visual understanding of the concepts and theories you put forward in your artist statement, active participation in the critiques (both individual and group), and craftsmanship. Attending and participating in the project critiques are essential. If you miss the scheduled

project critique you will receive an "F" for that project unless you have made prior arrangements with me or can verify, in writing, extraordinary circumstances beyond your control.

A = 94 to 100A = 90 to 93

B+ = 87 to 89 B = 83 to 86 B- = 80 to 82 C+ = 77 to 79 C = 73 to 76 C- = 70 to 72 D+ = 67 to 69 D = 63 to 66 D- = 60 to 62 F = 59 and below

Attendance and Studio Practices:

Active participation and attendance are critical to successfully complete this course and help you get the best grade possible. Being a capstone course you are required to successfully complete this course to earn your degree. No points are earned with attendance. However, points will be taken by not attending.

Arriving late or leaving early more than three times will be counted as an absence.

Missed classes – Grade Attendance Points

0	No effect
1	No effect
2	No effect
3	3%
4	8%
5	100%

Five or more absences will result in failing the course.

An absence only becomes an excused absence with a note from a doctor, court and/or the university. For a family crisis please communicate with me as soon as possible (email or call my office) and provide a letter upon return, stating the situation.

If you are absent it is your responsibility to inform yourself of the homework missed by talking with a classmate. Make a friend, exchange emails.

Each student is responsible for cleaning up after themselves.

Cell Phones are to be used responsibly. If not, this privilege will be taken away.

Required course materials:

- 1. Individual art supplies for creating a body of work.
- 2. Notebook/Visual Journal and 3 ring binder for handouts and printouts.
- 3. There are no required textbooks for this class. An additional recommended reading list can be provided. Listed below are some good books you can consider buying. They serve as a great reference text. I will make these available in class.
- 4. Assorted office and art supplies for creating an artist's portfolio.

Recommended Books:

Caplin, Lee. The Business of Art 2nd Edition, Prentice Hall ISBN 0-13-091646-3

Lazzari, Margaret R. **The Practical Handbook for the Emerging Artist** 2nd Edition, Wadsworth – Thomson Learning ISBN 0-15-506202-6

Add/Drop Policy and Deadlines:

Refer the 2017-18 Registration & Advising Handbook

Disability Services:

If you have a disability and require accommodation, you need to provide disability documentation to SSWD, Lassen Hall 1008, and (916-278-6955). Please discuss your accommodation needs with me after class or during my office hours early in the semester.

California State University, Sacramento Art Department

STANDARD GRADING RUBRIC FOR ART STUDIO COURSES

Excellent Work (A)

<u>Regarding Class Work</u>: student demonstrates outstanding skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is excellent, and it is integrated with exceptional creativity.

<u>Regarding Participation</u>: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction outstanding ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates extensive use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

<u>Regarding Homework:</u> In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes the assignment in all aspects and creatively exploits possibilities within open-ended assignments. The work demonstrates skill, good judgment, and application of principles. For written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work/presentation/document, presents sound research and is well written and well presented.

Better Than Average Work (B)

(80 - 89%)

(90 - 100%)

<u>Regarding Class Work</u>: student demonstrates moderate skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is good, and it is integrated with some creativity.

<u>Regarding Participation</u>: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction a solid ability to discuss and assess work; communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. The student demonstrates competent use and understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

<u>Regarding Homework:</u> In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes the assignment and fulfills more than minimal requirements. The work demonstrates some skill, judgment, and application of principles. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work /presentation/ document, presents research and is reasonably well written and suitably presented.

Average Work (C)

(70 - 79%)

<u>Regarding Class Work</u>: Student demonstrates average skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work is modest, and it is moderately integrated.

<u>Regarding Participation</u>: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction an average ability to discuss and assess work, communicating how visual elements and strategies are used. Though the discussion and assessment of work is substantially complete, the communication of some visual elements and strategies is incomplete or missing. The student demonstrates a superficial rather than thorough understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

Regarding Homework: In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student completes most of the assignment and fulfills the minimum requirements. The work demonstrates modest skill, some judgment, and in parts, application of principles. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the student makes a modest effort as evidenced by a satisfactory presentation/ document. Research may be incomplete, or lacking in organization.

Below Average (D) (60-69%)

<u>Regarding Class Work</u>: Student demonstrates lack of skill, discernment and understanding of visual principles in accomplishing his or her work. The quality of work submitted is less than acceptable, and it is poorly integrated.

<u>Regarding Participation</u>: student demonstrates through discussion, critique, and studio interaction a limited ability to discuss and assess work, while communicating at a minimal or perfunctory level how the visual elements and strategies are used. Poor effort is made to relate an understanding of the art concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

<u>Regarding Homework:</u> In the case of studio assigned homework (activity) the student does not complete the assignment and fulfills only minimal requirements or submits work late. The work demonstrates lack of skill, weak judgment, and little application of principles. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work /presentation/ document, presents faulty or negligible research and is not well written and/or presented.

Failing (F) (40-59%)

<u>Regarding Class Work</u>: Student fails to demonstrate skill or understanding of the issues involved. Quality of work submitted is insufficient, and poorly integrated.

<u>Regarding Participation</u>: In discussion, critique, and studio interaction, the student states an opinion vaguely or does not assess the work and shows little to no evidence of an understanding of how visual elements and strategies are used. No effort is made to relate an understanding of concepts and terminology used in the discipline.

<u>Regarding Homework:</u> In the case of studio assigned home work (activity) the student does not complete the assignment and does not fulfill requirements. In the case of written assignments, oral presentations, and research, the work /presentation/ document, presents faulty or negligible research and is not well written and/or presented.

Incomplete (I)

Incomplete: Student does not complete work and discusses this with the instructor. The department has a petition that must be signed by both the student and the instructor if an "I" grade is to be awarded. A grade of incomplete will be granted in instances such as medical emergencies where there is evidence the student understands the material and remaining assignments but has been prevented by outside forces from completing the work. The period allowed for completion is described in the petition for the incomplete and must be determined by the faculty member granting the grade (the University maximum is one year). In effect, the petition is a contract between student and faculty.

Withdrawal Unauthorized (WU)

The WU grade is assigned by the instructor if student withdraws from the class without notification, or fails to attend after University assigned deadlines. It is treated like an F in GPA calculations but, under some circumstances, can be removed by petition.

Authorized Withdrawal (W)

The "W" grade is assigned by the University if a student formally withdraws from a course after the University's census date, but within the deadlines (a "W" cannot be assigned by instructor).

2015-2016 Annual Assessment Report Template

For instructions and guidelines visit our $\underline{\text{website}}$ or $\underline{\text{contact us}}$ for more help.

Report:	BA Art Studio	
Question 1: Prograr	n Learning Outcomes	
Q1.1. Which of the following Program assess? [Check all that appl	Learning Outcomes (PLOs) and Sac State Baccalaureate L y]	earning Goals (BLGs) did you
1. Critical Thinking		
2. Information Literacy		
☐ 3. Written Communication		
4. Oral Communication		
5. Quantitative Literacy		
☐ 6. Inquiry and Analysis		
7. Creative Thinking		
8. Reading		
9. Team Work		
☐ 10. Problem Solving		
☐ 11. Civic Knowledge and Er	ngagement	
☐ 12. Intercultural Knowledge	e and Competency	
13. Ethical Reasoning		
☐ 14. Foundations and Skills	for Lifelong Learning	
☐ 15. Global Learning		
☐ 16. Integrative and Applied	Learning	
☐ 17. Overall Competencies f	or GE Knowledge	
18. Overall Competencies in	n the Major/Discipline	
19. Other, specify any asset	essed PLOs not included above:	
a.		
b.		
c.		

Q1.2.

Please provide more detailed background information about **EACH PLO** you checked above and other information such as how your specific PLOs are **explicitly** linked to the Sac State BLGs:

Program Learning Outcomes

Program Learning Outcomes and Links to Campus Baccalaureate Learning Goals

The PLO's link to the BLGs are articulated in the Studio Art Assessment Plan (summarized below) which addresses both the University's goals and NASAD's requirements.

Overall Competencies in the discipline:

There are two levels of coursework, lower and upper division. The two levels of coursework establish both a breadth of knowledge/skill in the discipline overall, and a focused skill level in a single artistic medium.

The lower division core in Studio Art establishes a foundation for the upper division courses. The upper division focus towards skill in a single artistic medium is developed through upper division coursework, and fully realized through the 192A Senior Seminar. The Senior Seminar is the culminating Studio Art class, where students continue to develop their studio practice and their critical discourse. The senior seminar concludes with a culminating project in the Seminar and the Senior Show, an exhibition of students' work. Art 199 is also utilized by some faculty as a Senior Project, extending the Senior Seminar experience over two semesters.

Knowledge of human cultures: This goal is an integral component of the Art History courses required in the Studio Art concentration (15 units in 60 unit BA, and 9 units in the 48 unit program). Artistic media from different eras and cultures are introduced in several Studio Art classes, so cultural issues are also addressed in relation to students' own artmaking. In the Senior Seminar (the culminating studio art class) students draw on influences from human cultures and art practices that influence them in the creation of their culminating work.

Intellectual and practical skills: Oral and written communication skills are addressed in multiple courses in the Studio Art concentrations. "Visual literacy," is given particular emphasis. Practical skills, including information literacy, also are addressed in several courses. All Studio Art courses involve the development of skill in artistic media; all courses include critique sessions where students develop their verbal skills (ability to articulate aesthetic ideas). Students develop significant professional skills in the senior seminar (ART 192A). They may also use courses like Gallery Management (ART 193) and the Artist and the Marketplace (ART 128) as an upper division elective. They also can earn units for an internship (ART 195).

Personal and social responsibility: "Intercultural knowledge," including sensitivity to cultural differences and their expression in the visual arts, is addressed in many courses in the Art major.

Integrative learning: The Senior Seminar plays an important role here, as it brings together students with specializations in different artistic media and reinforces connections between the courses taken to fulfill degree requirements in previous semesters.

Four over-arching PLOs were defined by the Art Studio area, regardless of the artistic medium involved (all four correspond to goals set forth by NASAD for this discipline). All of these PLOs are assessed in the Senior Seminar, and in Senior Projects, where overall Competencies in the Discipline are measured.

- 1. Students will articulate the content of their work and become confident in discussing it with others.
- 2. Students will independently frame aesthetic problems to solve.
- 3. Students will evidence self-motivation in the research, production, and exhibition of their work.
- 4. Students will develop skills appropriate for the manipulation of mediums.

Q1.2.1. Do you have rubrics for your PLOs?	
1. Yes, for all PLOs	
2. Yes, but for some PLOs	
3. No rubrics for PLOs	
O 4. N/A	
5. Other, specify:	

Q1.3

Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university?

1. Yes

2. No 3. Don't know
Q1.4. Is your program externally accredited (other than through WASC Senior College and University Commission (WSCUC))? 1. Yes 2. No (skip to Q1.5)
3. Don't know (skip to Q1.5)
Q1.4.1. If the answer to Q1.4 is yes, are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
Q1.5. Did your program use the <i>Degree Qualification Profile</i> (DQP) to develop your PLO(s)? 1. Yes
2. No, but I know what the DQP is 3. No, I don't know what the DQP is 4. Don't know
Q1.6. Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
(Remember: Save your progress) Question 2: Standard of Performance for the Selected PLO Q2.1. Select ONE(1) PLO here as an example to illustrate how you conducted assessment (be sure you checked the correct box for
this PLO in Q1.1): Overall Competencies in the Major/Disicpline
Q2.1.1. Please provide more background information about the specific PLO you've chosen in Q2.1. The Senior Seminar is the primary method of assessing Overall Competencies in the Major/Discipline:
Senior Seminar / Senior Project Outcomes: In the Senior Seminar and Senior Projects, student outcomes are artworks: drawings, paintings, sculptures, installations, ceramic objects, small metals / jewelry, videos, animations, digital images, experimental games, as well as accompanying written work. Artworks created in the Senior Seminar are assessed using the Art Department's grading rubric

Senior Seminar Final Critique / Senior Project Presentation:

This is a three-day event when each student in the course presents a body of work that is evidence of the PLO. The students are required to present work and to respond critically to thier own work and that of their peers.

Senior Seminar Final Critique / Senior Project Portfolio:

In this capstone course, students create a digital portfolio in the form of a PowerPoint or other digital method that chronicles thier progression and the PLOs in the BA Seminar.

Senior Seminar / Senior Project Presentation:

As a conclusion to the Senior Seminar, students present a Senior Show in the Witt and Else galleries. This show is curated, organized, installed, promoted and supervised by students, with faculty supervision. Faculty teaching the Senior Seminar use it as a formal assessment tool for students in Senior Seminar. In addition, all faculty visit the exhibition, and it is used to assess the overall competency of graduating students.

Senior Seminar / Senior Project and the Digital Archive:

The work produced by students in the Senior Seminar is uploaded to the digital archive. Studio Art faculty meet to review the digital archive and discuss insights gained from the assembled material.

Senior Seminar / Senior Project Informal Assessment:

Faculty teaching the Senior Seminar can ascertain which skills are weak or lacking in our students. That information, shared with other faculty, has helped us make informed decisions about what improvements might be needed in the structure or content of specific courses taken prior to the senior year. Studio Art faculty meet regualry to discuss curriculum development.

In addition, the following assessment strategies are used to assess Overall Competencies in the Major/Discipline::

Exhibitions:

An ongoing schedule of faculty and student-organized shows in the Art Department's galleries and studios allow faculty to review student progress and the consistency of course outcomes. Statements written by outside jurors for the juried Award Show provide useful insights about our program, as reflected in the quality of the art submitted by the students. Studio Art faculty also visit, and discuss the student-designed shows in the Witt and Else Galleries. Insights gained from these sources have helped inform Art faculty decisions about the design of courses and curriculum.

Digital Archive:

Each semester, on a rotational basis, coursework is collected from selected courses within the department. The online Assessment Site provides an ongoing resource for assessing the quality of student work across the Studio Art curriculum. Art faculty meet on a regular basis to review the digital archive as a group and discuss insights gained from the assembled material.

Student Evaluations:

All faculty must have all courses evaluated by their students every semester. The same Art Department questionnaire is used in each case (one for each teaching area—Art Education, Art History, or Studio Art). The Course Evaluation Form was rewritten in 2008.

Questions on the Course Evaluation from relate back to PLOs 1,2, and 3:

- 2. Coursework is focused on exploring/learning about the medium (drawing, painting, printmaking, ceramics, sculptures, new media, etc.). corresponds to PLO 4. Students will develop skills appropriate for the manipulation of mediums.
- 4. Studio practice is useful and relevant to understanding the area studied and stimulates interest in the subject relates to PLO 3. Students will evidence self-motivation in the research, production, and exhibition of their work.
- 5. Different strategies and paths are introduced with regards to thinking about art or solving problems in the given medium corresponds to PLO 2. Students will independently frame aesthetic problems to solve.
- 7. Critiques allow for thoughtful response to/analysis of student work corresponds to PLO 1.Students will articulate the content of their work and become confident in discussing it with others.

Faculty use these evaluations to assess teaching performance and adjust course delivery. Evaluations are analyzed and organized to produce an overall picture of the extent to which educational and artistic goals are being attained in the program.

Critique: In the BA Seminar the students critically analyze, interpret, intuit, and judge their own work and that of their peers at different moments throughout the semester. Critiques on an informal level take place each week peer-to-peer, between the professor and students as a group, and finally, in an ongoing dialogue between the instructor and the individual student. The critique sessions are designed in such as way as to assist the students in developing a critical dialogue that serves them both in their own practice and in their overall developing discourse that embraces and integrates the broader context of making.

-2016 Asse	essment R	eport Site	e - BA Art Stuc	lio		12/16
Q2.2.	rogram .	develope	d or adopted	explicit standards of pe	rformance for th	his PLO2
• 1. Yes	_	uevelope	a or adopted	explicit standards of pe	inormance for the	113 1 EO:
2. No						
_	n't know					
O 4. N/	А					
Q2.3. Please pr Attached		ne rubri	c(s) and sta	ndards of performance	that you have (developed for this PLO here or in the appendix
	ment of	Senior P	roject (199)	bric for Art Studio course student blog	s (attached)	
The Art (NASAD 2005 re	Show nd Witt g nal asessi Departn) every view (at of the 6	allery ex ment (N/ nent und ten year which v	chibitions ASAD review dergoes a res. The need we also were version of th	for a higher level of c	onceptual and er developing	l Association of Schools of Art and Design skills development, recognized at the a BFA degree), helped prompt the
				nts, post graduation: ac ept Student Achieveme		graduate programs, professional
	ding rubr 33 KB	ic for art	studio.pdf	Art Dept Student Act	nievements.xlsx	
Q2.4. PLO	Q2.5. Stdrd			cate where you have publicate where you	•	the standard of performance, and the
			1. In SOM	course syllabi/assignme	nts in the progr	am that address the PLO

-	Q2.4. Q2.5. PLO Stdrd I		Please indicate where you have published the PLO , the standard of performance, and the				
PLO Stara R		Kubi ic	rubric that was used to measure the PLO:				
			1. In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO				
		>	2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO				
			3. In the student handbook/advising handbook				
			4. In the university catalogue				
			5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters				
✓	>	>	6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources, or activities				
			7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university				
✓		✓	8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents				
			9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation documents				
			10. Other, specify:				

Question 3: Data Collection Methods and Evaluation of Data Quality for the Selected PLO

Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collected for the selected PLO?
1. Yes
2. No (skip to Q6)
3. Don't know (skip to Q6)
4. N/A (skip to Q6)
Q3.1.1. How many assessment tools/methods/measures in total did you use to assess this PLO?
Q3.2. Was the data scored/evaluated for this PLO?
• 1. Yes
2. No (skip to Q6)
3. Don't know (skip to Q6)

Q3.2.1.

4. N/A (skip to **Q6**)

Please describe how you collected the assessment data for the selected PLO. For example, in what course(s) or by what means were data collected:

Senior Seminar

- 1.) Students present a body of work completed in the BA Seminar that is exhibited at a formal final critique.
- 2.) The work at this final critique is documented in photos by a member of the assessment committee.
- 3.) Each student creates a digitial portfolio that is collected at the end.

Senior Show

The Senior Show is an exhibition that all students in the Senior Seminar participate in. Work created by senior students in the Senior Seminar is presented. Faculty, arts professionals and community members are invited to the reception. Feedback from community members provides a valuable measurement of the qualities of outcomes

199 / Senior Project

The Senior Project is a course students in the New Media Art emphasis take in their final or penultimate semester. Students are required to develop independently driven work, which is critiqued during the class. Work produced is documented by the student on a weekly basis, in the form of a 250-word statement and visual documentation, and is assessed weekly. Inconsistencies in the quality of work produced in the Senior Project informs curriculum decisions in the New Media Art program.

199 / Senior Project Show

Students in New Media Art present an exhibition in the Witt Gallery for the Senior Project in the New Media Art emphasis. Faculty, arts professionals and community members are invited to the reception. The quality of work produced, and the standard of presentation are used to assess overall competencies in the New Media Art area. Observations from faculty and community members provide a valuable measurement of the qualities of outcomes.

Exhibitions in the Witt Gallery

Students apply to exhibit their work in solo a group shows in the Witt Gallery. The submissions are juried by the Department's gallery committee. The student-designed shows provide evidence of student competencies in the discipline.

Award Show

The Annual Award Show is a juried show, juried by invited regional arts professionals. Statements written by outside jurors for the Award Show provide useful insights about our program, reflected in the quality of the art submitted by the students, and accepted or rejected by the jurors. Faculty, arts professionals and community members are invited to the reception. Observations from faculty and community members provide a valuable measurement of the qualities of outcomes.

Digital Archive

The online Assessment Site provides an ongoing resource for assessing the quality of student work across the Studio Art curriculum. Each semester, on a rotational basis, coursework is collected from selected courses within the department. This includes upper division required and elective courses, and work created for the Senior Seminar Capstone Project

Tracking student progress, post degree:

Data is collected on: Students accepted into graduate programs, employment and professional awards, grants, exhibitions.

Question 3A: Direct Measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, etc.)

Were direct measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, course work, student tests, etc.) used to assess this PLO? 1. Yes 2. No (skip to **Q3.7**)

3. Don't know (skip to **Q3.7**)

Q3.3.1.

Which of the following direct measures were used? [Check all that apply]

- $lap{1}$ 1. Capstone project (e.g. theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences
- $ule{10}$ 2. Key assignments from required classes in the program
- ☑ 3. Key assignments from elective classes
- 4. Classroom based performance assessment such as simulations, comprehensive exams, or critiques
- \square 5. External performance assessments such as internships or other community-based projects

7. Other Portfolios				
8. Other, specify: Student exhibitions				
Q3.3.2. Please explain and atta	ch the direct me	easure you used to collect data:		
Direct measures used:				
1. The capstone project archive for documentation		n the Senior Seminar - is used to assess th	ne PLO and is uploaded to the digital	
Artwork from the capsto	ne project is sho	wn in the Senior Show.		
Artwork from the 199 / S	Senior Project in	New Media is uploaded to the digital arch	ve for documentation.	
2 & 3. Coursework creat times per semester and		ments from selected courses (both require digital archive	d and elective classes) are captured three	
4. Oral critiques of cours grading Rubric for Studio		egral part of most studio art couses. They	are assessed using the Standard	
assess work, communica	ating how visual o	trates through discussion, critique, and st elements and strategies are used. The stu gy used in the discipline.		
8. Student exhibitions are exhibition schedule)	re used to formal	lly and informally assess student progress	in the degree. (Link to Witt and Else	
192A_Syllabus_H 107.9 KB	larvey.pdf	192A_Class_Schedule_Harvey.pdf 53.46 KB		
Q3.4. What tool was used to ev	valuate the data?	,		
What tool was used to ev		evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.)		
What tool was used to ever 1. No rubric is used	to interpret the		o Q3.4.2.)	
What tool was used to evolute 1. No rubric is used 2. Used rubric develo	to interpret the opped/modified by	evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.)	Q 3.4.2.)	
What tool was used to even1. No rubric is used2. Used rubric develo3. Used rubric develo	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by	evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.) The faculty who teaches the class (skip to	o Q3.4.2.)	
What tool was used to even1. No rubric is used2. Used rubric develo3. Used rubric develo	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by sested and refined	evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.) The faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) The description of the descriptio	o Q3.4.2.)	
 What tool was used to even 1. No rubric is used 2. Used rubric develo 3. Used rubric develo 4. Used rubric pilot-t 	to interpret the opped/modified by oped/modified by sested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4)	evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.) The faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) The dot is a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) The dot is a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.)	Q3.4.2.)	
What tool was used to every a second of the control	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by tested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4) ubric(s) (skip to Q	evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.) the faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) .2.) Q3.4.2.)	Q3.4.2.)	
What tool was used to ever 1. No rubric is used 2. Used rubric develor 3. Used rubric develor 4. Used rubric pilot-to 5. The VALUE rubric 6. Modified VALUE rubric 7. Used other means Q3.4.1.	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by ested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4 obric(s) (skip to Q3.4 obri	evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.) the faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) 2.) 23.4.2.)		
What tool was used to every series of the value of value of the value	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by sested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4. obtic(s) (skip to Q3.4. obtic(s) (skip to Q3.4. obtic(s), which of the fo	evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.) The faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) 2.) Q3.4.2.) Illowing measures was used? [Check all t	hat apply]	
What tool was used to ever a second of the control	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by oped/modified by dested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4 obric(s)	evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.) the faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) 2.) 23.4.2.)	hat apply] 3.4.4.)	
What tool was used to every 1. No rubric is used 2. Used rubric develor 3. Used rubric develor 4. Used rubric pilot-to 5. The VALUE rubric 6. Modified VALUE rubric 7. Used other means 1. National disciplina 2. General knowledg	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by sested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4.) bric(s) (skip to Q3.4.) s, which of the foary exams or state and skills measured.	evidence (skip to Q3.4.4.) The faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) 2.) 23.4.2.) 1.) Illowing measures was used? [Check all to te/professional licensure exams (skip to Q	hat apply] 3.4.4.) 4.4.)	
What tool was used to every 1. No rubric is used 2. Used rubric develor 3. Used rubric develor 4. Used rubric pilot-to 5. The VALUE rubric 6. Modified VALUE rubric 7. Used other means 1. National disciplina 2. General knowledg	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by oped/modified by dested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4 obric(s)	the faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) 2.) 23.4.2.) Illowing measures was used? [Check all to te/professional licensure exams (skip to Q3.4.2.) Sures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.) I skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.)	hat apply] 3.4.4.) 4.4.)	
What tool was used to ever 1. No rubric is used 2. Used rubric develo 3. Used rubric develo 4. Used rubric pilot-to 5. The VALUE rubric 6. Modified VALUE rubric 7. Used other means 1. National disciplina 2. General knowledg 3. Other standardize 4. Other, specify: E	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by oped/modified by sested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4.) obtained (s) (skip to Q3.4.) of the following exams or state and skills meased knowledge and obtained by Studies (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s	the faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d.2.) Q3.4.2.) 1.) Illowing measures was used? [Check all to te/professional licensure exams (skip to Q3.4.2.) Sures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.) I skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.)	hat apply] 3.4.4.) 4.4.) Q3.4.4.)	
What tool was used to ever 1. No rubric is used 2. Used rubric develor 3. Used rubric develor 4. Used rubric pilot-to 5. The VALUE rubric 6. Modified VALUE rubric 7. Used other means 1. National disciplina 2. General knowledg 3. Other standardize 4. Other, specify: EQ3.4.2. Was the rubric aligned of the standard stan	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by oped/modified by sested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4.) obtained (s) (skip to Q3.4.) of the following exams or state and skills meased knowledge and obtained by Studies (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s	the faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d.2.) Q3.4.2.) 1.) Illowing measures was used? [Check all to te/professional licensure exams (skip to Q3.4.2.) Sures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.) I skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.)	hat apply] 3.4.4.) 4.4.) Q3.4.4.)	
What tool was used to ever 1. No rubric is used 2. Used rubric develo 3. Used rubric develo 4. Used rubric pilot-to 5. The VALUE rubric 6. Modified VALUE rubric 7. Used other means 1. National disciplina 2. General knowledg 3. Other standardize 4. Other, specify: E Q3.4.2. Was the rubric aligned of 1. Yes	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by oped/modified by sested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4.) obtained (s) (skip to Q3.4.) of the following exams or state and skills meased knowledge and obtained by Studies (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s	the faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d.2.) Q3.4.2.) 1.) Illowing measures was used? [Check all to te/professional licensure exams (skip to Q3.4.2.) Sures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.) I skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.)	hat apply] 3.4.4.) 4.4.) Q3.4.4.)	
What tool was used to ever 1. No rubric is used 2. Used rubric develor 3. Used rubric develor 4. Used rubric pilot-to 5. The VALUE rubric 6. Modified VALUE rubric 7. Used other means 1. National disciplina 2. General knowledg 3. Other standardize 4. Other, specify: EQ3.4.2. Was the rubric aligned of the standard stan	to interpret the oped/modified by oped/modified by oped/modified by sested and refined (s) (skip to Q3.4.) obtained (s) (skip to Q3.4.) of the following exams or state and skills meased knowledge and obtained by Studies (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s) (s	the faculty who teaches the class (skip to a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.) d.2.) Q3.4.2.) 1.) Illowing measures was used? [Check all to te/professional licensure exams (skip to Q3.4.2.) Sures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.) I skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.2.)	hat apply] 3.4.4.) 4.4.) Q3.4.4.)	

● 4. N/A
Q3.4.3. Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the rubric? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A
Q3.4.4. Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A
Q3.5. How many faculty members participated in planning the assessment data collection of the selected PLO? All Studio Art faculty, with input from part-time faculty
Q3.5.1. How many faculty members participated in the evaluation of the assessment data for the selected PLO? All full-time Studio Art faculty
Q3.5.2. If the data was evaluated by multiple scorers, was there a norming process (a procedure to make sure everyone was scoring similarly)? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
Q3.6. How did you select the sample of student work (papers, projects, portfolios, etc.)?
Assessment Database Sample work is selected from different courses on a rotational basis.
Faculty selected represented samples of student work that best reflected the coursework undertaken.

 $\begin{picture}(20,0) \put(0,0){\line(0,0){100}} \put(0,0){\line(0,0){100$

Every course is evaluted by a single evaluative tool comprised of questions that relate directly to the PLO.				
Pages from ART.Studio-PrintableForms-Fall2015 (duplex).pdf 134.11 KB No file attached				
Q3.7.2. If surveys were used, how was the sample size decided?				
All students in each course				
Q3.7.3. If surveys were used, how did you select your sample: Every course is surveyed.				
Q3.7.4. If surveys were used, what was the response rate? Approximately 20-100% return rate				
Question 3C: Other Measures (external benchmarking, licensing exams, standardized tests, etc.)				
Q3.8. Were external benchmarking data, such as licensing exams or standardized tests, used to assess the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No (skip to Q3.8.2) 3. Don't Know (skip to Q3.8.2)				

Q3.8.1.
Which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply]
1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams
 2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) 3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.)
4. Other, specify: Evaluated by Studio Art faculty Evaluated by Studio Art faculty
4. Other, specify: Livaluated by Studio Art racuity
Q3.8.2. Were other measures used to assess the PLO?
1. Yes
2. No (skip to Q4.1)
3. Don't know (skip to Q4.1)
Q3.8.3. If other measures were used, please specify:
Faculty observation (faculty visiting student shows)
Faculty discussion and evaluation of outcomes during Studio Faculty meetings
■ No file attached ■ No file attached
(Remember: Save your progress)
Question 4: Data, Findings, and Conclusions
Q4.1. Please provide simple tables and/or graphs to summarize the assessment data, findings, and conclusions for the selected PLO for Q2.1 :
Not applicable
■ No file attached ■ No file attached

Q4.2.

Are students doing well and meeting the program standard? If not, how will the program work to improve student performance of the selected PLO?

some student work (about 4%) shows some student wor	re successful at the program standard, or have exceeded the standard. However, signs of a minimal to low reach in attaining the program standard. cess among the low performing students, faculty have discussed implementing give students the opportunity to better understand how the work produced is a .			
192A_Class_Schedule_Harvey.pdf 53.46 KB	No file attached			
Q4.3. For the selected PLO, the student performance: 1. Exceeded expectation/standard 2. Met expectation/standard 3. Partially met expectation/standard 4. Did not meet expectation/standard 5. No expectation/standard has been specified 6. Don't know				
Question 4A: Alignment a Q4.4. Did the data, including the direct measurpLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	ares, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the			
 Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? ● 1. Yes ● 2. No ● 3. Don't know 				
Q5.1.	based on prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate <i>making any changes</i> for your content, or modification of PLOs)?			
2. No (skip to Q5.2)				

3. Don't know (skip to **Q5.2**)

Q5.1.1. Please describe *what changes* you plan to make in your program as a result of your assessment of this PLO. Include a description of how you plan to assess the impact of these changes.

We plan to meet as a studio faculty to discuss how best to assist the very small number of students (4%) who struggle to meet our minimum requirements. Strategies we will discuss could include information sharing across courses and disciplines to facilitate greater student support. We will assess another faculty's Senior Seminar in AY 16/17.

In some studio courses where online evaluations were being used, we received a low yield of student responses. This is an issue for consistent assessment of the PLO. We will institute mandatory paper evaluations for all evalutions in AY2016/17 in order to capture a higher number of student responses and address this problem. We will resport of the results of this change change in program assessment next year.

Q5.1.2.

Do you have a plan to assess the impact of the changes that you anticipate making?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

Q5.2.	-				
How have the assessment data from the last annual assessment been used so far? [Check all that apply]	1. Very Much	2. Quite a Bit	3. Some	4. Not at All	5. N/A
1. Improving specific courses	0	0	0	0	0
2. Modifying curriculum	0	0	0	0	0
3. Improving advising and mentoring	0	0	0	0	0
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals	0	0	0	0	0
5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations	0	0	0	0	0
6. Developing/updating assessment plan	0	0	0	0	0
7. Annual assessment reports	0	0	0	0	0
8. Program review	0	0	0	0	0
9. Prospective student and family information	0	0	0	0	0
10. Alumni communication	0	0	0	0	0
11. WSCUC accreditation (regional accreditation)	0	0	0	0	0
12. Program accreditation	0	0	0	0	0
13. External accountability reporting requirement	0	0	0	0	0
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations	0	0	0	0	0
15. Strategic planning	0	0	0	0	0
16. Institutional benchmarking	0	0	0	0	0
17. Academic policy development or modifications	0	0	0	0	0
18. Institutional improvement	0	0	0	0	0
19. Resource allocation and budgeting	0	0	0	0	0
20. New faculty hiring	0	0	0	0	0
21. Professional development for faculty and staff	0	0	0	0	0
22. Recruitment of new students	0	0	0	0	0

23. Other, specify:	
Q5.2.1. Please provide a det	cailed example of how you used the assessment data above:
N/A	
(Remember: Save Additional As	your progress) ssessment Activities
	s have collected assessment data on aspect of their program that are not related to the PLOs (i.e. impacts r, etc.). If your program/academic unit has collected data on program elements, please briefly report you
N/A	
No file attached	No file attached
Q7. What PLO(s) do you	plan to assess next year? [Check all that apply]
1. Critical Thinki	ng
2. Information L	iteracy
3. Written Comr	nunication
4. Oral Commur	rication
☐ 5. Quantitative	Literacy
\square 6. Inquiry and A	nalysis
\square 7. Creative Thin	king
\square 8. Reading	
9. Team Work	
10. Problem Sol	ving
☐ 11. Civic Knowle	edge and Engagement
12. Intercultura	Knowledge and Competency
\square 13. Ethical Reas	oning
\square 14. Foundations	and Skills for Lifelong Learning
15. Global Learr	ing
☐ 16. Integrative a	and Applied Learning

☐ 17. Overall Competencies for GE Kno	vledge	
■ 18. Overall Competencies in the Major	·/Discipline	
19. Other, specify any PLOs not inclu	led above:	
a.		
b.		
c.		
Q8. Please attach any additional files her		
	••	
Sample_Assessment_Site_Page.tiff 940.8 KB	■ No file attached ■ No file	attached
Q8.1. Have you attached any files to this form?	If yes, please list every attached file here:	
Triave you accurred any mes to this form:	if yes, please list every attached life fiere.	
Senior Seminar Syllabus		
Senior Seminar Schedule		
Art Studio Rubric		
Art Dept Student Achievements		
Art Studio Evaluation Questions		
Art Studio Road (Curriculum) Map		
	sielius and and a financial	
Screenshot of Elvis Assessment Site chro	licling a decade or assessment	
Program Information (Rec	uired)	
P1. Program/Concentration Name(s): [by de	reel	
BA Art Studio		
P1.1. Program/Concentration Name(s): [by de	artment]	
Art Studio BA		
P2.		
Report Author(s):		
Rachel Clarke and Sarah Flohr		
P2.1.		
Department Chair/Program Director: Catherine Turrill		
Catherine furnii		
P2.2.		
Assessment Coordinator: Rachel Clarke and Sarah Flohr		
-		
P3. Department/Division/Program of Academ	c Unit	
Art Academ		
-		
P4. College:		
College of Arts & Letters		

P5.

Total enrollment for Academic Unit during assessment semester (see Departmental Fact Book):
According to CMS, "Enrollment by Department" for Fall 2015, it states that we had a total of 200 4 enrolled
P6. Program Type:
1. Undergraduate baccalaureate major
2. Credential
3. Master's Degree
4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.D./Ed.S./D.P.T./etc.)
5. Other, specify:
P7. Number of undergraduate degree programs the academic unit has? 4
P7.1. List all the names:
Studio Art Studio Art Methods Single Subject Pre-Credential Preparation in Art
Art History
P7.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this undergraduate program?
1
P8. Number of master's degree programs the academic unit has?
1
PO 4. List all the groups
P8.1. List all the names:
Master of Arts in Studio Art (MA)
P8.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this master's program?
P9. Number of credential programs the academic unit has?
P9.1. List all the names:

Single Subject Pre-Credential Preparation	in Art						
migie Subject Fie-Creuential Preparation	III AIL						
10. Number of doctorate degree prog	rams the acad	emic unit ha	ns?				
10.1. List all the names:							
When was your assessment plan	1. Before	2. 2011-12	3. 2012-13	4. 2013-14	5. 2014-15	6. No Plan	7. Don't
P11. developed?	2010-11	0			0	0	know
P11.1. last updated?	0	0	0	•	0	0	0
P11.3.							
Please attach your latest assessment pla	an:						
No file attached							
212.	_						
las your program developed a curriculu 1. Yes	n map?						
2. No							
3. Don't know							
?12.1. Please attach your latest curriculum ma j	p:						
4 YR Plan Art Studio_2015 rev vs orig 72.47 KB	inal v in advisin	g ppt_v3.xls	х				
P13. Has your program indicated in the curricul	lum map where	e assessmer	t of studer	nt learning	occurs?		
1. Yes							
2. No							

3. Don't know

P14.	
Does your program h	have a capstone class?
1. Yes, indicate:	Senior Seminar
O 2. No	
3. Don't know	
P14.1.	
	nave any capstone project?
• 1. Yes	
2. No	
2. NO	

(Remember: Save your progress)

Art-Art Studio Concentration				FOUR YEAR PLAN			
YEAR	Sem. 1 :	A1 Oral Communication	D1a Found. Social/Beh.	B4 Math. Concepts/Q.R.	C2/ART 1A	ART 20A	15 UNITS
1	Sem. 2 :	A2 Written Communicatn	B1 + B3 Phys.Sci/Lab	D3b/c: GOVT	C4/ART 1B	Required ART LD	15 UNITS
YEAR	Sem. 1 :	A3 Critical Thinking	B2 Life Forms	College Comp 2	ART 70	ART 20B	15 UNITS
2	Sem. 2 :	D1b World Cultures	C3 Intro to Humanities	Req. ARTH LD	ART 97	Required ART LD	15 UNITS
YEAR	Sem. 1 :	ART Hist Course 1	ART 120	Required ART LD	D3a: U.S. HIST-UD	ENGL 109/FL	15 UNITS
3	Sem. 2 :	ART Hist Course 2	UD Studio Course 1	UD Studio Course 2	D2*-UD social issues	Elective or FL	15 UNITS
YEAR	Sem. 1 :	UD Studio Course 3	UD Studio C Elective	ART 192A	B5 further studies	Elective/FL	15 UNITS
4	Sem. 2 :	UD Studio Course 4	ART 192A	C1 World Civilization	E+: UD GE PersDev	Elective	15 UNITS
						TOTAL =	120 UNITS